

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/067,921	02/08/2002	Eric Lenglet	PET-1986	9505
23599	7590 02/10/2004		EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			TSANG FOSTER, SUSY N	
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22201		1745 B DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
-	10/067,921	LENGLET ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susy N Tsang-Foster	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover she t with	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the integrated parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejn. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	08 February 2002.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits i	is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not r	eceivea.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20020208</u>. 	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed on 2/8/2002 has been considered by the Examiner.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds 150 words in length.

 Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 5. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

Application/Control Number: 10/067,921 Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,921

Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc: The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.

Or alternatively, Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix": See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.

- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
 - (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37

 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- general statement of the invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) <u>Detailed Description of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known

Application/Control Number: 10/067,921

Art Unit: 1745

in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.

- (i) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).
- (k) Sequence Listing, See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.
- 6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The specification currently lacks the appropriate headers. The applicant is encouraged to insert the appropriate headers where applicable into the filed specification.

On page 7, the reference label 1 for the air-rich oxidant stream appears to contradict the reference label for the reference label 1 for the hydrocarbon feedstock on page 3.

On page 7, the reference label 2 for the feed stream of a hydrocarbon-rich stream appears to contradict the reference label 2 for the air-carrying gaseous oxidant stream on page 3.

Page 7 of the specification states that the partial oxidation chamber 3 is connected downstream to the exchanger. However, Figures 1 and 2 appear to show the partial oxidation chamber 3 to be connected upstream to the exchanger and downstream from the oxidant stream.

On page 8, the reference labels 20, 21, for the first filter appear to be incorrect since page 7 describes regeneration means 20, 21 and first filter 7.

On page 10, "filter 7 (second circuit)" appears to be incorrect and should be "filter 7 (first circuit)".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

7. Claims 12-15 and 18 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

In claims 12-15, and 18, the preamble drawn to a device/apparatus fails to further limit claim 1 which is drawn to a process.

It is also unclear how claim 18 further limits claim 12 since claim 12 is drawn to a device and claim 18 is drawn to an apparatus.

8. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, the limitation "cooling the effluent of the chamber is cooled (5) to a temperature of between..." is grammatically awkward. It is recommended to rewrite the limitation as "cooling the effluent of the chamber to a temperature of between..."

Art Unit: 1745

In claim 1, the limitation "said zone comprising a first circuit (6) at least a first filter (7)" is grammatically awkward. It is recommended to rewrite this limitation as "said zone comprising a first circuit (6) comprising at least a first filter (7)".

In claim 1, the limitation "concurrently circulating the cooled effluent the second circuit" is grammatically awkward. It is recommended to rewrite the limitation as "concurrently circulating the cooled effluent in the second circuit".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 10. Claims 1- 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the limitation "a hydrocarbon feedstock having boiling points less than about 400 °C" is indefinite because it is unclear how a hydrocarbon feedstock can have more than one boiling point.

In claim 1, the limitation "dwell time in the chamber of between 100 and 1200 milliseconds" is indefinite because it is unclear what dwell time is being referred to and it is unclear how this dwell time is measured since the process of partial oxygenation is dynamic that involves continuous flowing gas streams into the chamber.

Art Unit: 1745

In claim 1, the limitation "a partial oxidation zone (3) or chamber" is indefinite because it is unclear whether zone and chamber are equivalent terms as written in the claim. For example, the limitation "dwell time in the chamber" appears to imply that zone and chamber are equivalent terms otherwise the limitations involving the chamber would not make sense in claim 1 if zone and chamber were not synonymous. For clarification purposes, it is recommended to the applicant to delete zone from the phrase "partial oxidation zone (3) or chamber". Furthermore, the zone of step (f) would be confusing since this could refer to the partial oxidation zone(3) in step (d).

In claim 4, the limitation "the filter of the second circuit is regenerated in the presence of a gas that contains oxygen for at least a portion of the period of time of the filtration stage in the first filter" is indefinite because it is unclear what "period of time of the filtration stage in the first filter" means.

In claim 6, the limitation "wherein the gaseous oxidant stream and/or the hydrocarbon feedstock contains water vapor in an H2O/hydrocarbon mass ratio of between 0.1 and 2.0" is indefinite because it is unclear how the gaseous oxidant stream contains water vapor in an H2O/hydrocarbon mass ratio of between 0.1 and 2.0 since alternative language is used in the phrase "gaseous oxidant stream and/or the hydrocarbon feedstock".

Claim 18 is indefinite because it is unclear whether applicant is claiming an apparatus which is identical to or different from the term "device" recited in claim 12 from which it depends. It is recommended to applicant to use the same terminology for the same claimed subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,921 Page 9

Art Unit: 1745

Claims depending from claims rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph are also rejected for the same.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to examiner Susy Tsang-Foster, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1293. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached at (571) 272-1292.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

St Susy Lang Faster

Susy Tsang-Foster Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745